Farsi lexical analysis and stop word list

Farsi lexical analysis

M.R. Davarpanah

Faculty of Education and Psychology, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran

435

M. Sanji

Imam Reza University, Mashhad, Iran, and

M. Aramideh

Mashhad Education Organization, Mashhad, Iran

Received 30 March 2009 Revised 24 May 2009 Accepted 11 June 2009

Abstract

Purpose – The purpose of this article is to present an aggregated methodology for construction of the stop word list in Farsi language and generate a generic Farsi stop word list.

Design/methodology/approach – The stop word list is extracted based on: syntactic classes, domain dependent, corpus statistic and expert judgments. Some of the main challenges that arise in the Farsi automatic text processing are outlined as well.

Findings – Results from the techniques are aggregated and a general Farsi stop word list containing 927 words is generated.

Practical implications – The created stop word list can affect the efficiency and effectiveness of retrieval and indexing process in Farsi information retrieval system, moreover, it can play an important role during Farsi text segmentation.

Originality/value – Our stop word extraction algorithm is a promising technique; it could be applied into other languages that they have ambiguities in automatic text segmentation.

Keywords Languages, Information retrieval

Paper type Research paper

Introduction

In information retrieval (IR), a document is traditionally indexed and searched by words. By definition, stop words are very common words that appear in the text frequently but do not carry significant information in terms of information retrieval (Zou et al., 2006). They can affect the retrieval effectiveness because of a very high frequency and tend to diminish the impact of frequency differences among less common words. They can also affect efficiency, resulting in a large amount of unproductive processing (Korfhage, 1997). The removal of stop words can increase the efficiency of the indexing process as stop words can represent 30 to 50 per cent of the tokens in a large text collaboration (Schauble, 1997). Therefore, identifying a list of stop words in order to eliminate them from text processing during indexing as well as before querying is essential to an information retrieval system.

Lots of stop words lists have been developed for English language in the past, which are usually based on frequently statistics of a large corpus (Van Rijisbergen, 1975; Francis and Kucera, 1982; Fox, 1990). Farsi like any other natural language



Library Hi Tech Vol. 27 No. 3, 2009 pp. 435-449 © Emerald Group Publishing Limited 0737-8831 DOI 10.1108/07378830910988559

contains many stop words that can represent a considerable percentage of the tokens in a text. However, no general and commonly accepted stop word list has been constructed for Farsi language. Research and experimentation in natural language processing (NLP) in Farsi language is fairly new. There are a few studies on Farsi stop words in the literature. Taghva et al. (2003a, b) in Nevada University produced a stop list that embodied variant forms of only 12 verbs (saying that each verb had almost as many as 100 variations given its infinitive, imperative and past tens forms). The list they produced also included non-verbal stop words which mounted to 155 words. It is an introductory part of a research on Farsi searching and display technologies and created list is relatively short and incomplete as mentioned by the authors. Falahati Qadimi Fumani and Ramachandra (2008) examined 30 chemistry scientific articles to find out that all punctuation marks, numbers, and English letter combinations can be included in the stop list or not. The study revealed that the omission of all punctuation marks, numbers, etc. will have a negative effect on recall. Since punctuation marks, particularly "dot" and "hyphen" appear in the structure of content-bearing elements and even appear abundantly in titles and abstracts of scientific articles. With the fast growth of online Farsi documents and the rapid increase of Farsi web sites, constructing a general Farsi stop word list with an applicable generating methodology becomes critical. This paper represents an exercise in generating a stop list for general text based on the domain dependent and domain independent text collections.

Methodology

Stop lists can be domain independent or domain dependent. They can be created using syntactic classes, or using corpus statistics, resulting in a domain dependent approach for well-defined fields (Abu El- Khair, 2006). This study explore a combination of four techniques of syntactic classes, domain dependent, corpus statistics and expert judgments to obtain the benefits of all approaches. Firstly we reviewed the characteristics of Farsi language and the word structure to identify area of problems for crating stop list. In the next stage a number of 63 articles extracted from 12 different high ranking Persian journal titles of Psychology, Education, and Library and Information Science randomly. To collect the data the articles were typed in a Word format. We manually edited the texts and several fatal spelling errors in the texts were corrected. Then the words automatically segmented. A post-segmentation script was used to join the detached morpheme to the stem and separate the adjoint words prior to word analysis. Syntactic function of the obtained words were examined by using Loghatnameh Dehkhoda, Farhang Moin, Farhang Sokhan, the comprehensive dictionaries in Farsi language.

The research also used corpus created by Hamshahri online Persian newspaper in Iran. The corpus contains 190,206 articles covering a range of different topics such as politics, city news, economics, reports, editorials, literature, sciences, sociology, foreign news, sports, etc. The size of the documents varies from short news to rather long articles with the average of 1.8 KB. More important it consists of real text in everyday use of Farsi that implies it has the sampling and representative property (Darrudi *et al.*, 2004). The data collected through above mentioned techniques function as basis for data analysis. During the stages the research also called the expert judgments.

437

Farsi, also known as Persian, is an Indo-European language. It is the official language of Iran and is spoken in Afghanistan, Tajikistan and parts of Uzbakistan. A distinguishing characteristic of the Farsi language is the right to left orientation in writing. Farsi uses an expanded form of Arabic alphabet, introducing letters like ch, p, zh, and g. The Farsi alphabet consists of 32 characters that are consonants and vowels: رَوْمَ مَا مُوْمَا مُوْمَا مُوْمَا اللهُ الل

Farsi plural are formed more regularly by addition of suffixes: ان"،"ها and words borrowed from Arabic "ات". The plural might be formed irregularly depending the root and singular form of the word and a complete reformulation of the word (cause), (means, equipments).

Every letter in Farsi is pronounced as a word cannot be used to represent one character except the waw "3" which means "and. This letter comes in its separate format in a large portion of words in Farsi. Acronyms or abbreviations are not common in Farsi; there are some abbreviations in Farsi that are presented with one-letter words. For example, (صابي الله عليه و الله (praise and greeting to God/Mohammad and his descendants) in written Farsi; therefore, except for "ع" (and), all one letter words are abbreviations. Farsi is written using the Arabic script, each character may have up to four different presentation forms in writing according to its location in the word or syntactic situation: initial, middle, final and isolated. For example, there are four forms for "خ"; an initial "غار" (cave), a medial "غول" (Moghul), a final "غيغ" (razor) and an isolated "باغ" (garden). Therefore, each letter may have up to four different shape based on connectivity and its occurrence at the word. The initial form indicates that no element is attached to the element from the right; there is no attaching character before it, but there is one followed the character. Characters are in medial form if they have an attached character both before and after them. The final form denotes that the character is at the end of a word. The final forms can therefore be used to mark word boundaries. The isolated form is a standalone character (Taghva et al., 2003a, b). In Farsi, words may be written as connected or separated characters "ميروم"، "ميروم" (I am going). Thus, a single word may have got different presentation forms. In addition, some letters do not connect to others at all, "نود" (soon, quickly, early).

In Farsi texts, word boundaries are identified by using space as word delimiters. But, the inconsistent usage of the whitespace in the texts gives rise to problems in detecting word and boundaries. The optional nature of whitespace causes distinct words to appear as single token(e. g. אוניאל עני = to express), also raises issues in the detached morphemes such as "יין (you have gone). The inflectional morphemes such as "יין "געי" ("יין "געי" can appear either as bound to the host, as free affixes separated by final form character, or separated with an intervening space (e.g. books). The discontinuity may occur in the word structure such as عمايه ساز (indexer). There exist lexical elements, such as the preposition به the determiner "راين, the postpositions "ر", or the relativizer "عنن, that usually appear a separate words in written text, but may appear attached to the adjacent word (e.g. intensively). Similarly, a number of pronominal or verbal clitic elements may occur on various of speech categories (e.g. زوبروست is facing). The element within a noun phrase are linked by the enclitic particle called ezafe. This morpheme is usually an unwritten vowel (Megerdoomian, 2004). Lack of an ezafe morpheme delimit the boundary of the pronoun and the proper name in written text as على شريعتى (Ali Shariati). In such cases the word constructs two words. There are also a large number of multi word expression in Farsi. These include phrasal verbs, compound nouns and lexical units (unit-like element) such as براساس (therefore), براساس (based on). The presence of these multi words make the segmentation difficult because of optional whitespace within them (Megerdoomian, 2004). Farsi verbs are modified more extensively than English verbs. Farsi verbs vary form according to tense, person, negation, and mood. Therefore, a given verb may have a score of variation (Taghva et al., 2003a, b). For instance, Figure 1 shows all variations (present participle) of the verb نفن (to go). According to the figure it has 136 variations.

All these complexities together and multi meaning and multi functioning of the words lead to difficulties in recognizing word boundaries and producing invalid words in the word extraction stage and make processing and identification of stop words in Farsi language more difficult than it appears. Reviewing of the Persian linguistic and grammar literature (Safavi, 1981; Meshkatoddini, 2005; Bateni, 2003) revealed that words in Farsi such as other languages have two distinct level of representation: semantic and syntactic representation. Syntactic words have a finite state and semantic ones have an infinite state. As is mentioned in the related literature stop words serve only a syntactic function. Instead, they are used just because of grammar and carry no significant information (Zou *et al.*, 2006). Therefore, possible words that may be considered as stop words should be collected from the different syntactic classes in Farsi in a systematic way to ensure the completeness of the list. From the viewpoint of linguistics, Farsi stop word usually will be those words with the following word categories: adverbs, pronouns, prepositions, determiners, conjunctions, interjection, ordinal numbers, lexicon units, auxiliaries and light verbs.

As Megerdoomian (2004) believes, listing of the unit-like elements (e.g. بنابراین = therefore) can improve the accuracy of Farsi segmentation significantly. Moreover the subparts of these lexicon units may not appear as index or search terms in Farsi information retrieval system. Most verbal constructions in Farsi are formed using a light verb such as בוני (to do), (to hit), בינ (to give) דוני The number of these verbs is limited but their constructions are extremely productive in Farsi. Light verbs in Farsi behave like single lexical verbs and also function as verbs, when they combine

ماضى سلاه: رفتم، رفتى، رفت، رفتيم، رفتيد، رفتند(نرفتم، نرفتى، نرفت، نرفتيم، نرفتيد، نرفتند Farsi lexical analysis ماضى استمراري:مهرفتم، مهرفتى، مهرفت، مهرفتيم، مهرفتيد، مهرفتند(نمهرفتم ماضى نقلى: رفتهام، رفتهاي، رفتهاست، رفتهايم، رفتهايد، رفتهاند (نرفتهام ماضى بعيد: رفته بودم، رفته بودي، رفته بود، رفته بوديم، رفنه بوديد، رفته بودند(نرفته بودم ماضي التزامي: رفته باشم، رفته باشي، رفته باشد، رفته باشيم، رفته باشيد، رفته باشند(نرفته باشم ماضى مستمر: داشتم مى رفتم، داشتى مى رفتى، داشت مى رفت، داشتيم مى رفتيم، داشتيد مى رفتيد، داشتند مر فتند نمر فتم مضارع ساده: روم، روي، رود، رويم، رويد، روند(نروم مضارع اخباري: ميروم، ميروي، ميرود، ميرويم، مي رويد، ميروند(نميروم مضارع التزامي: بروم، بروي، برود، برويم، برويد، بروند(نروم مضارع مستمر:دارم مهروم، داري مهروي، دارد مهرود، داريم مهرويم، داريد مهرويد، دارند ممروند(نممروم آینده: خواهم رفت، خواهی رفت، خواهد رفت، خواهیم رفت، خواهید رفت، خواهاد رفت (تخواهم رفت امر: دوم شخص مفرد: برو (نرو رفتن Variation of the verb دوم شخص جمع: بروید (نروید

439

with different non-verbal items (Karami-Doostan, 1997). Farsi light verbs have many variations and each variation is a poor index or search term. However, a large number of these variations because of their infrequent occur failed to make our stop list. Personals pronouns can appear either as free or as clitics. Although these cliticized pronouns have the same surface form, they can have different functions depending on the part of speech or syntactic context that they appear on. In Farsi just free forms of pronouns can be collected as stop words. The use of a stemming algorithm may filter out attached words such as cliticized pronouns.

Domain-based

Text processing of 63 articles resulted in a total of 248,552 occurrences of words. Assigning grammatical categories to words in a text is an important and difficult component of NLP. The syntactic form of these words were identified based on the mentioned dictionaries, personal and expert judgments. The frequency of the words were also calculated. Then the stop list was constructed on the syntactic function and frequency of the words. Since rule-based technique can accurately annotate grammatical function of the words, it is used to analyze and mark up a given texts. Statistical technique (frequently) is then applied to disambiguate the result or to guess the stop words for the list. The resulting list constructed of 1,291 words in the three domains. Further analysis showed that stop words represents about 39 per cent and symbols 7.4 per cent (both 46.47 per cent) of the tokens in the collected texts. The punctuation marks that appeared in the body of the 63 articles are: – _ #) [** {!.../:] [;; ? " "...

Furthermore, the chi-square test was used to judge whether word distribution differences between the three domains can be considered statistically significant or not. The differences was among 20 per cent of the infrequent words (p = 0.05). The distribution of word frequency showed that the highest frequent stop words have a quite stable distribution in different documents and domains.

We wanted a large list to keep many useless words out of indexes, but browsing the data by a small group of experts it was found that many important words as potential index or search terms were occurred in the list; also the presence of such a words may have a negative effect on recall of Farsi IR system. Based on the expert recommendation these words (545 words) were removed, and a list of 746 words produced at this stage. The top ten words are: و، در، به، که، از، این، را، است، با، بر ای = particle serving as a sign of the direct object, is, by/with, for)

Corpus-based

In the next stage, Hamshahri corpus statistic were used to create a corpus-based stop list words occurring more than 20,000 times. Preliminary examination of the corpus word frequency statistics and resulting stop list suggested that this was a reasonable heuristic. A total of 758 words and symbols (737 + 21) met this criterion. The top ten words are: برای، و، کشور، در، ایران، به، از، کنند، دارد، تهران (for, and, country, at, Iran, to, of/from, they do, have, Tehran). Analysis of the punctuation marks appeared within the structure of the corpus revealed that these symbols are the same that were illustrated in the previous pages. Browsing the data from the created list it was also obvious that many words including invalid words, different presentation forms as well as words important as index or search terms such as country, Iran, and Tehran among the top words. A proper segmentation of Farsi texts is required before construction of, because the word boundaries are not clear in Farsi texts. Therefore, a manual check was done to remove any content bearing words, which may not be considered conventional stop words, from this list. The removal of these words (315 words) in another decision based on personal judgment, and syntactic rules (word categories) were identified in this study. The resulting list contained 422 words, as second list of stop words. Comparing the two word list indicated that the content of these stop word list vary in a lot from each others, but quite stable for 246 words (Table Î). The chi-square test result (P = 0.01) showed that the difference between the two techniques distribution is significant at the 99 per cent level of confidence. This result indicates that for producing a complete and reliable stop word list in Farsi both statistical and

rule-based as well as domain dependent and independent methodologies should be combined.

Farsi lexical analysis

Aggregation

The two list were aggregated together to generate the final one. A combination of these two observations redefined the stop words as those words with stable and high frequency in documents. This list generated according to the above mentioned techniques revealed the features of stop words in different manners, which are all quite reasonable. After removing 246 words in common between the two lists, the stop list is brought to its final of 922 words, that should be maximally efficient and effective in filtering the frequently occurring and semantically neutral words in Farsi texts. These words are listed in alphabetical order in the Appendix (Figure A1). It contains verbal and nonverbal stop words in Farsi almost none of which would be potentially good index or search terms. Our experiments are based on Hamshahri corpus and a text collection in psychology, education, and library and information sciences. It is believed that those stop lists produced for a language in general will not be able to function appropriately in specific domains. Therefore, we recommend that stop lists can be prepared for each subject area separately, i.e. for biology, chemistry, physics, etc. However, the lack of common large collection has been a major draw back in Farsi text retrieval experiments.

English, Arabic and Farsi stop word list comparison

The final aggregated list was checked against the stop word list of SMART (Lewis et al., 2004), which is a standard and well known in English language. We find that about 43.4 per cent (i.e. 248 words out of 571 words) of the English stop words have corresponding words in Farsi stop word list. For instance, "ع" (and), "ع" (at), "ع" (to), "that/which/who), "غ" (of, from), "غ" (this), "غ" (is), "غ" (by, with), "غ" (for). The large number of stop words is due to the characteristic of the Farsi language. However, the specialty of Farsi stop word list is the presence of lexical units, lack of clitic personal pronouns and also that some words might have the same meaning, like "of", "from",both of which means "t".

Because of the close relationship between Arabic and Farsi and the presence of a lot of Arabic loan words in Farsi, we also compared the overlap of our Farsi stop word list with a general Arabic stop word list created by Abu El- Khair (2006). The result showed that only 48 (5.20 per cent) words such as احیانا، اخیرا، اکثر، الا، اقل are common between the two lists.

Techniques	Number of initial words	Number of removed words	Number of stop words	Number of common words	Number of non- common words
Domain-based Corpus-based	1,291 737	545 315	746 422	246	500 176
Total	2,028	855	1,173	246	676 + 246 = 922

Table I.
Comparison of the
domain-based and
corpus-based stop word
distribution

441

LHT 27,3

Adding words for free

Add words to the list according to the following criteria:

- Our list includes the highest occurrence light verbs, each with infinitive and past tense forms. Though a given verbal root may occur frequently, most of its variations occur infrequently. Therefore, add different variation of the light verbs in list.
- Ordinal numbers in Farsi are made with cardinal numbers plus المحين/mi, مين/omin. Add these for that differ from a word already in list.
- · Add any single letter that differ from a letter already in list.
- Add any different spelling of the words included in list (به موقع، بموقع بموقع) به موقع، بموقع بموقع، بم
- If a word in the list can take the suffixes ها، ان، ات، تر، ترین, then add the suffixed words (e.g. جدي تر
- All the suffixed words and unit-like element in the list are written without intervening space, add different presentation forms of these words.
- If a word in the list can take clitics pronouns ""الت"، "الث"، "مان"، "كان"، "شان" " "أم"، "الث"، "مان"، "كان"، "شان" " for him).

Conclusion

Traditionally stop words are supposed to have included only the most frequent occurring words. Browsing the data from our lists, domain-based and corpus-based, revealed that stop lists have tended to include infrequently occurring words, and have not included many frequently occurring words as also identified by Fox (1992). Therefore, it can be concluded that statistical model may have poor performance in identifying stop list in Farsi. Since automatic word processing is based purely on spelling and space of words, because of different spelling and space character may or may not be occurred, certain ambiguities arise in a computational segmentation and processing of Farsi texts. In fact the same surface form can represent different morphemes. So our Farsi stop word list facilitates the process of the word segmentation in Farsi information retrieval by increasing the accuracy of segmentation. The stop list that we have generated can serve as the basis for stop lists for specialized databases, or as a list for general Farsi literature.

The major conclusions that can be drawn from this exploratory work are:

- Multi analysis method would have a good performance in Farsi language processing.
- All the words under different syntactic classes, except letters, may not be considered as conventional stop words, as some of them are words important as index or search terms.
- The extremely common words are quite stable among different disciplines; the differences are among the infrequent words.
- Stop words represent approximately 39 per cent of the tokens in Farsi texts, therefore, excluding stop words, especially in full text search system, save space about 39 per cent and speed up searches with little effect on the quality of results.

442

Farsi lexical analysis

443

References

- Abu El- Khair, I. (2006), "Effect of stop words elimination for Arabic information retrieval: a comparative study", *International Journal of Computing & Information Sciences*, Vol. 4 No. 3, pp. 119-33.
- Bateni, M.R. (2003), توصيف ساختاري دستوري زبان فارسي بر بنياد يك نظريه عمومي زبان يستوري زبان فارسي بر بنياد يك نظريه عمومي زبان فارسي بر بنياد يك Amir Kabir, Tehran.
- Darrudi, E., Hejazi, M.R. and Oroumchian, F. (2004), "Assessment of a modern Farsi corpus", Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on Information Technology and its Disciplines (WITID) 2004, ITRC, Kish Island, Iran.
- Falahati Qadimi Fumani, M.R. and Ramachandra, C.S. (2008), "The concept of stop words in Persian chemistry articles: a discussion in automatic indexing", available at: http://biblotecavirtualut.suagm.edu/Glossa2/journal/dec2008
- Fox, C. (1990), Lexical Analysis and Stop List, Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Fox, C. (1992), "A stop list for general text", SIGIR Forum, Vol. 24 Nos 1/2, pp. 19-35.
- Francis, W. and Kucera, H. (1982), *Frequency Analysis of English Usage*, Houghton Mifflin, New York, NY.
- Karami-Doostan, M.R. (1997), "Light verb construction in Persian", PhD dissertation, University of Essex, Colchester.
- Korfhage, R.R. (1997), Information Storage and Retrieval, John Wiley, New York, NY.
- Lewis, D., Yang, Y., Rose, T. and Li, F. (2004), "Rcv1: a new benchmark collection for text categorization research", *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, Vol. 5, pp. 361-97.
- Megerdoomian, K. (2004), "Developing a Persian part of speech tagger", *Proceedings of the 1st Workshop on Persian Language and Computers, Tehran University, Tehran, May.*
- Meshkatoddini, M. (2005), يستور زبان فارسي: واژگان و بيوندهاي ساختي SAMT, Tehran.
- Safavi, K. (1981), در آمدي بر زبانشناسي, Bongan Tarjome va Nashr, Tehran.
- Schauble, P. (1997), Multimedia Information Retrieval: Content-based Information Retrieval from Large Text and Audio Databases, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, MA.
- Taghva, K., Beckley, R. and Sadeh, M. (2003a), "A list of Farsi stop words", Technical report 2003-01, Information Science Research Institute, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, NV.
- Taghva, K., Young, R., Coombs, J., Beckley, R., Sadeh, M. and Pereda, R. (2003b), "Farsi searching and display technologies", Technical report NV 89154-4021, Information Science Research Institute, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, NV.
- Van Rijisbergen, C.J. (1975), Information Retrieval, Butterworths, London.
- Zou, F., Wang, F.L., Deng, X. and Han, S. (2006), "Automatic identification of Chinese stop words: advances in natural language processing", Research in Computer Science, Vol. 18, pp. 151-62.

Further reading

Megerdoomian, K. (2000), "Unification-based Persian morphology", *Proceedings of CICLing 2000, Centro de investigacion en computation-IPN, Mexico* (edited by A. Gelbukh).

LHT	Appendix			
27,3	أخ	أيا	از اينروي	اکٹر
_,,0	أخ أخر	اتفاقا	از این قر ار	اکثرا
	أرام أرام	اتفاقى	از این گذشته	اکثریت اکثریت
	أشكارا	احتمالا	ازجمله	سر ا کن ون
444	أمد	احيانا	ر. ازچەقرار	سرل اگر
444	أمدن ـ	اختصارا	ر پ ور ازروي	_ر اگرچه
	أن	اخير	روروي از قبيل	اگرنه
	آنان	اخيرا	ازلحاظ	الأن
	أناني	از	ازنظر	וע
	أنجآ	ازآن	از همیزرو	البته
	أنجنان	از آن زمان به بعد	اساسا	الْي
	أنجنانكه	ازآنيابعد	است	اما
	أنجه	ازآنیس	اشتباها	امروز
	أنجمكه	از آنجا که	اصطلاحا	رور امروزه
	أنرا	از آنجابیکه	اصلا	امسال
	أنقدر	ازأنجهت	اصولا	امشب
	أنكس	ازأنجهتكه	اضيافه براين	امور
	أنكه	ازآندو	اظهار	انجام
	أنكاه	ازاین	اعلام	اندك
	أنكونه	از این به بعد	اغلب	اندكى
	أنوقت	ازاینپس	افزونيراين	انگار
	أنها	ازاينجهت	افسوس	او
	أنهايى	از اینجهتکه	اقل	اوَل
	أورد	از این دست	اقلا	اوُلاً
	أوردن	ازايزرو	اكتسابا	اي
	ايشان	بايستى	بعداز	بهجرات
	این	بتدريج	بعدازان	بهجز
	اينان	بجز	بعداز این	بهجهت
	اينجا	بخش	بعداز اینکه	بهخاطر
	اينچنين	بخشى	بعدازظهر	بهخاطراينكه
	اينطور	بخصوص	بعدها	بەخصىوص
	اينقدر	بخربي	بعضا	بمخلاف
	اينك	۔ بدان	بعضى	بهخوبي
	اينكه	بدان جهت	بعضى ديگر	بهخود
	اينگونه	بدانجا	بلادرنگ	بهخوديخود
	اينها	بدانها	بلافاصله	بلارستي
	اینهمه	بدون	بلكه	بلاقت
	با	بدرتأنكه	بله	بعدلخواه
	باأنكه	بدو <u>ن</u> اینکه	بلی	بعدليلأنكه
Pietuna A 1	بالطمينان	بدين	بنابه	بعدليلاينكه
Figure A1. List of Farsi stop words				(continued

بااينحال	بدينترتيب	بنابر	بهراحتى	Farsi lexical
بالينكه	بدينجا	بنابراين	بهراستى	analysis
بااينوجود	بدينسان	بود	بالرغم	and you
بااینهمه	بدينقرار	بودن	بالروز	
باتوجهبهاينكه	بدينمعنىكه	په	بهروشني	
بارها	بر	بەأسائى	بهزعم	445
بازهم	بر روي	بهاجمآل	بعزودي	
باعلاقه	برابر	باستثناي	باسادگی	
كالز	براثر	بهاضافه	باسبب	
بالأخره	براساس	بهاین ترتیب	بمسببأنكه	
بالاخص	براي	بمبالا	بحسبباينكه	
بالاي	برايانكه	بهبعد	باسختي	
بالضروره	براياينكه	بەتازگى	بەسرعت	
بالطبع	برحسب	بهتدريج	بهسوي	
بالعكس	برخلاف	بهتر	بەسھولت	
بالغ بر	برخي	بەترتىب	بەشدىت	
بالنتيجه	برطبق	بەتفكىك	بمشرطأنكه	
باوجود	بر عکس	به تمامي	بهشرطاينكه	
باوجودأنكه	ېس	بەتنھايي	بمصورت	
باوجوداين	بسا	باتوسط	بمصورتيكه	
باوجوداينكه	بسي	بهجا	بهطور	
باوجوديكه	بسيار	بمجاي	بهطوركلي	
باهم	بسياري	بمجايآنكه	بهطوريكه	
بايد	بعد	بمجاياينكه	بمظاهر	
بايست	بعدا	بهجد	بەعكس	
بەعلارە	بههيچرجه	پیشاز این	ٹانیا	
بهعنوان	ېي	پیشتر	جا	
بهغيراز	بيآنكه	پيشروي	جاي جاي	
بەقدر	بيپايان	ېيشين	جدا	
بەقدري	بيترديد	پيگير	جدا	
بهقرار	بيتوجه	ับ	جدا از	
بهقول	بيجا	تاأنجاكه	جدا از هم	
بهكرات	بيچون و چرا	تاأنكه	جداگانه	
بمكل	بيرون	تااندازهاي	جداي از	
بەكلي	بیش	تابعمال	جدي	
بەكندي	بیشاز	تاجابيكه	جديد	
بەگرمي	بیشاز آنکه	تاحدودي	جديدا	
بالحاظ	بیش از اینکه	تاحدي	جز	
بطحاظ اينكه	بيشتر	تاكنون	جزّه به جزء	
بمثابه	بيشك	تام و تمام	جلو	
			(continued)	Figure A1.

1 1775				
LHT	بممجرداينكه	بيگمان	تاوقتىكە	جلوتر
27,3	بهمحضأنكه	بيمناسبت	تحت	جلوي
	بهمراثب	بین	تدريجا	لجمعا
	بمنزله	بينابين	ترجيحا	جهت
	بهمنظور	بىنتىجە	تصريح	چرا
446	بهمنظوراينكه	بيوقفه	تصريحا	چُر اکه
	بەموجىب -	پارسال	تعدادي	جَنَّدر
	بالموقع	پار هاي	تعمدا	چگونه
	ب ەناچ ار	پایین	تقريبا	جنان
	بهندرت	پريروز	تك تك	جنانجه
	بەنسىت	پس	تلويحا	چنانگه
	بانظر	پس و پیش	تمام	چند
	بانوبمخود	پساز	تمامي	چندان
	بهنوعي	پساز آنکه	تمام وقت	چندمین
	بهواسطه	پسازآن	تماما	جندي
	بهو اسطهاينكه	پسازاین	تند تند	ڿ۪ڹۮؠڹۜ
	بهواقع	پساز اینکه	تنگاتنگ	چندینبار
	بهوسيله	ېشت	تنها	۔ چنین
	باوطنوح	پي	ننو	چُر
	بەرىۋە	پيدرپي	توأما	چُون
	بههرحال	بيرامون	ئوانست	جُه
	بهمرروي	ېيش	تو انستن	جُهانکه
	بەھرصورت	پیشاپیش	توسط	چهارم
	بههم	پیشاز	فالثا	چەبسا
	بهديروي	بْیشاز آنکه	ثاني	چەچىز
	چەچىز ھايي	خير	درمورد	رُو بُه گسترش
	چەچىزي	خيلي	درمیان	روبرو
	چهره به چهره	دانم	درنت یجه	روز به روز
	چەطور	دائماً	درنهایت	رو زانه
	چيز	داخل	درواقع	روزمره
	چيزي	داد	درون	روي
	چیست	دادن	درهرحال	روي هم
	حاشا و كلا	دارد	درهرصورت	روي هم رفته
	حاكي	داشت	دريغ	الزاما
	حال	داشتن	دريغا	زماني
	حالأنكه	در	دستهدسته	زمانیکه
	حالا	در ازاي	لقيق	زود
	حالكه	دراثر	دگرباره	ز هي
	حتما	در اینبار ه	دگرگون	زیاد
	حتي	در اینمیان	دوباره	زير

Figure A1.

حتىالمقدور	درباب	دوتا دوتا	زيرا	Farsi lexical
حداقل	درباره	دورا ز	ریر زیرا که	analysis
حداكثر	درباره ي	دوم	ریر س	anarysis
حدود	دربرابر	دومی	سابقا	
حرف به حرف	دربادر	دهم	منالانه	
حسب	درپي	دير	ساليانه	447
حقيقتا	درتُحت	ديروز	ساير	447
حكما	درثاني	ديثب	سپس	
حول	درجهت	دیگر	سراسر	
خار جاز	در حالي كه	دیگران	سرانجام	
خاصه	درحاليكه	ديگري	سريع	
خامسا	درحقيقت	ذاتا	سريعا	
خداحافظ	درحين	ذيلا	سوم	
خصوصا	درخصو <i>ص</i>	راجعيه	سومي	
خو است	درست	راحت	سوي	
خواستن	در صورتي	راسا	سهلالوصول	
خواه	در صور ت يكه	راست	سهوا	
خواطاخواه	درطول	راستا	شايد	
خود	درطي	راستي	شبانه	
خودبهخود	درعين	رسما	شبانهروز	
خودبهخودي	در عین حال	رفته رفته	شتابان	
خوش	درکل	رو به افزایش	شتابگونه	
خوشبختانه	در کنار	رو به تزاید	شخصا	
خورش	درمجموع	رو به زوال	شد	
خويشتن	در مقابل	رو به سستي	شدن	
شديدا	عمدتا	قریب به اتفاق	گرفت	
شما	عمده	قطعا	گرفتن	
شماري	عملا	قويا	گفت	
ص	عموم	کاش	گفتن	
صادقانه	عموما	كاشكي	گونا گ ون	
صد البته	عميقا	كافي	گويا	
صراحتا	عنقريب	كامل	گويي	
صرفا	عينا	كاملا	گهگاه	
صريحا	لبالغ	كجا	Y	
صفحه به صفحه	غير	كدام	لااقل	
صميمانه	غيراز	كدامند	لاجرم	
ضرورتا	غيرازاين	كدامها	لذا	
ضمنا	غيرممكن	كداميك	الزوما	
طبعا	فاقد	کرد	لطفا	
طبيعتا	فبها	کردن	ليكن	
			(continued)	Figure A1.

LHT	طرف	فراتر	كسانى	مؤخر
27,3	عر <u>ت</u> طریق	مربر فردا	ىي كسى	سوسر مآلا
41,0	سریی طور	فعالانه	<u>سئي</u> کلا	ما
	<u>—</u> ور طي	فعلا	ے۔ کم	ما قبل
	ظاهرا	فقط	کم کم	مادام که
448	ع	فلان	كمْ و بْييش	مادامٰی
110	عاجزانه	فلذا	كمااينكه	مادامىكه
	عاري از	فورا	كمابيش	مانند
	عاقبت	فوري	كماكان	ماهانه
	عبارتند	فوق	كمتر	ماهيتا
	عجب	فوقالعاده	كمى	مبادا
	عجولاته	في الواقع	كنار	متأسفانه
	جقب	فىنفسة	كنون	متعاقبا
	علاوه بر	.ق.م	كنوني	متفاوت
	علاو مبر أن	قابل	که	متقابلا
	علاومبرأنكه	قاطعانه	کي	مثال
	علاومبراين	فاعدنا	كيست	مثل
	علاومبراينكه	قبل	گاه	مثلا
	لناد	قبلا	گاهي	مخرر
	علىالاصول	قبلاز	گذرا	مجددا
	عليالظاهر	ق بل از آن	گذشته از	مجموعا
	عليرغم	قبل از اینکه	گذشته از أنكه	محتاطانه
	عليرغم اينكه	ئ در	گذشته از آین	محكم
	عليه	قدرمسلم	گرچه	مختصرا
	عمدا	قدري	گرَند	مختلف
	مخصوصا	موارد	وفتى	همانطوركه
	مدام	موجود	وقتيكه	همانطوريكه
	مداوم	مورد	وگخر	همان <u>ق</u> در
	مدت	موقعيكه	وگرنه	همانگونهکه
	مدتي	میان	ولاغير	همانند
	مرا	ميبايست	ولمي	هماني
	مرتب	ناچار	وليكن	همچنان
	مرتبا	ناگزیر	وي	همچنانکه
	مرحلهمرحله	ناگهان	ويژه	همچنین
	مستقلا	نيارد	ه ِش	همچون
	مستقيما	نتيجتا	ه.ق	همدیگر
	مستمرا	نخست	هان	همزمان
	مسلما	نخستين	ه ر ٍ	همسو م
	مشتركا	ندرئا	هرآنچه	همگام
	مشخص	نزد	هرازچند گاهي	همگان
Pisturo A1				

Figure A1.

مشروط برأنكه	نزديك	هرازگاهی	همگی	Farsi lexical
مضاف بر	۔ نزد یک تر	برار <u>—بي</u> هرجا	مدعي همواره	analysis
مطلقا	نسبناً	مرج <u>ة</u> در	مصور د همه	anarysis
مطمننا	نسبتبه	ەرپىر ھرچند	همیشگی	
مع الاسف	نشأن	هرچندکه	هميشه	
مع ذالك	نظرا	هرچه مرچه	همین	449
معدود	نظربهاينكه	هرّساله	ی <u>ت</u> همینطور	
معمولا	نظير	هركدام	<u>همینکه</u>	
معمولي	نگاه	مر کس	هنگآمیکه	
مغرضانه	نوعا	هرکسی	هنوز 💮	
مقابل	نو عی	؞؞ڒۘػ؋	هيج	
مقدار	نه	هرگاه	م یچچیز	
مكرر	ندآنكه	<u>مر</u> گز	هيجكدام	
مكررا	نهان	هرگونه	هيچكس	
مگر	نهايتا	هروقت	هیچگاه	
مگرانکه	نهاینکه	ھ رىڭ	هيچگونه	
مگراينكه	نهتنها	هزاران هزاران	هيچيك	
ملاحظه	نەچندان	هزارها	یا	
ملزم به	نهفقط	هست	ياأنكه	
ممكن	نیز	هفتگی	يااينكه	
من	و	. الم	يافت	
منتهي	واقعا	همُ اكتون	يافتن	
منحصرا	واقعي	هم اینك	يعنى	
منحصربهفرد	واي	همان	يقينآ	
منظور	وراي	همانا	يك	
يكايك	يكجانبه	یکدیگر	يككمي	
يكبار	يكنجور	يكزمان	بكلحظه	
يكباره	يكنجوري	يكسال	يكنواخت	
يكبعيك	يكجهت	یکسره	یکي	
يكپارچه	يائيهند	يكسري	•	
يكجا	يكدم	بككم		Figure A1.

To purchase reprints of this article please e-mail: reprints@emeraldinsight.com
Or visit our web site for further details: www.emeraldinsight.com/reprints